

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/769,831	02/02/2004		Nikolai Franz Gregor Schwabe	S-844-US	9233
2071	7590	09/29/2006		EXAMINER	
SIEBERTH	•		OUSPENSKI, ILIA I		
4703 BLUEBONNET BLVD BATON ROUGE, LA 70809				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1644	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summer.	10/769,831	SCHWABE ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
, — , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
							application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
222 2								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application .						
	, ·· 							

Application/Control Number: 10/769,831

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. Claims 1 23 are pending.
- 2. The instant application appears to be in sequence compliance for patent applications containing nucleotide sequence and/or amino acid sequence disclosures.

Restriction Requirement

3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

Groups 1 – 48. Claims 1 – 12 and 20, drawn to an oligomeric MHC complex comprising a <u>single</u>, <u>specific combination</u> of elements, or a pharmaceutical or diagnostic composition comprising said complex, classified in Class 530, subclass 350, and Class 514, subclass 2.

Applicant is required to elect a <u>single</u> type of oligomeric complex characterized by a specific combination of elements. For example:

Group I, claims 1 – 12 and 20, drawn to an oligomeric MHC complex comprising a first and second chimeric proteins, wherein the chimeric proteins comprise portions derived from the extracellular part MHC class I chain, the pentamerization domain of COMP, a first linker, a second linker, a tagging domain and a purification domain, or a pharmaceutical or diagnostic composition comprising said complex.

Art Unit: 1644

Group 49. Claims 13 – 17, drawn to a chimeric protein comprising a first section derived from an MHC peptide chain and a second section derived from the pentamerization domain of COMP, classified in Class 530, subclass 350.

Group 50. Claims 18 – 19, drawn to a recombinant expression cassette or a vector comprising a nucleotide sequence coding for a chimeric protein go Group 49, classified in Class 435, subclass 320.1.

Groups 51 – 98. Claim 21, drawn to a method of labeling or detecting mammalian T cells according to the specificity of their antigen receptor, using an oligomeric MHC complex of one of Groups 1 – 48, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.

Groups 99 - 146. Claim 22, drawn to a method of separating mammalian T cells according to the specificity of their antigen receptor, using an oligomeric MHC complex of one of Groups 1 - 48, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.

Groups 147 – 160. Claim 23, drawn to a primer consisting of one of DNA sequences recited in claim 23, classified in Class 536, subclass 24.33.

4. Groups 1 – 50 and 147 – 160 are different products. The products are patentably distinct because their structures, physicochemical properties and/or mode of action are different, and they do not share a common structure that is disclosed to be essential for common utility. Furthermore, they require non-coextensive searches in the scientific literature. Therefore, each product is patentably distinct, and searching of these Inventions would impose an undue burden.

Groups 51 – 146 are different methods. The methods differ with respect to one or more of ingredients, method steps, and/or endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct. Furthermore, the distinct ingredients, method steps, and/or

Application/Control Number: 10/769,831 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

endpoints require separate and distinct searches. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Inventions together.

Groups 1 – 48 and 51 – 146 are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the complexes of Groups 1 – 48 can be used for raising antibodies, in addition to the methods recited.

5. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Moreover, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct Species of the claimed Inventions of Groups 1 48 and 51 146, wherein the label is:
 - A. light detectable label,
 - B. radioactive label,
 - C. an enzyme,
 - D. an epitope,
 - E. a lectin, or
 - F. a biotin.

Art Unit: 1644

These species are distinct because their structures, physicochemical properties and mode of action are different, and they do not share a common structure that is disclosed to be essential for common utility. Furthermore, the examination of these species would require different searches in the scientific literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Species together.

Applicant is required under 35 USC 121 to elect a single disclosed species to which the claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

7. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,831

Art Unit: 1644

8. It is noted that the instant claims include generic recitations of "MHC peptide," "coiled-coil protein," "linker," "tagging domain," and "purification domain." In the event additional specific members of these genera are introduced into the claims during prosecution, they may be considered to be non-elected species, or, alternatively, additional restriction and/or species election may be required.

Page 6

9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain

Art Unit: 1644

dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ILIA OUSPENSKI whose telephone number is 571-272-2920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,831

Art Unit: 1644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tha Oaspewshi

Page 8

ILIA OUSPENSKI, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

September 20, 2006

Art Unit 1644